

# Dr. habil. Frank Zenker

## Home address

Stresemannstrasse 77a, 22769 Hamburg, Germany, mail@frankzenker.de

## Work address

Lund University, LUX, Box 192, 221 00 Lund, Sweden, frank.zenker@fil.lu.se

**Date of birth:** 27 April 1972, Aachen, Germany; Nationality: German

**Researcher unique identifier:** ORCID 0000-0001-7173-7964

**Research Areas:** *Philosophy of Science and Epistemology, Logic and Philosophy of Language, Cognitive Science*

**Languages:** *German (native); English (near-native); Dutch, French, Italian, Swedish (basic), Chinese (rudimentary)*

## Education

- 1994-1998 *University of Hamburg, Germany*; B.A. studies in Philosophy, Linguistics of English, Cognitive Science, and Political Science
- 1998-1999 *Tulane University, New Orleans, LA, U.S.A*; Graduate studies in Philosophy and Anthropology
- 1999-2001 *University of Hamburg, Germany*; M.A. studies in Philosophy
- 2001-2002 *International School for Humanities and Social Sciences, University of Amsterdam, The Netherlands*; M.A. in Discourse & Argumentation Studies
- 2002-2005 *University of Hamburg, Germany*; Ph.D. studies in Philosophy of Science
- 2005-2006 *Lund University, Sweden*; Visiting Fellow, Department of Philosophy
- 2006-2007 *University of Calgary, AB, Canada*; Visiting Fellow in the History and Philosophy of Science Research Group
- 2007 *Hamburg University, Germany*; Ph.D. Philosophy (defended 27 Sept 2007)

## Academic Merits

*Docentur* (equivalent to *associate professor/cumulative habilitation*), Reviewer: Gerhard Schurz, University of Düsseldorf, Germany, 22 Jan 2014.

Dr. phil., *magna cum laude*, Philosophy of Science, Department of Philosophy, Hamburg University, Germany; Thesis: *Ceteris Paribus in Conservative Belief Revision* (1<sup>st</sup> reader: Ulrich Gähde, 2<sup>nd</sup> reader: Erik J. Olsson); defense: 27 Sept 2007, diploma: 5 May 2009.

M.A., *with honors*, Discourse & Argumentation Studies. Dpt. for Speech Communication, Argumentation Theory & Rhetoric, University of Amsterdam, Netherlands; Thesis: *The Etymological Argument: Fallacy or Sound Move?* (1<sup>st</sup> reader: Bart Garssen, 2<sup>nd</sup> reader: Frans H. van Eemeren), 31 Aug 2002.

B.A., “Zwischenprüfung” (equivalent to B.A. exam), University of Hamburg, Germany, Philosophy, thesis on epiphenomenal qualia, 17 Mar 1997.

## Current Position

2014- today *Associate Professor (docent)*, Lund University (LU), Sweden, Department of Philosophy & Cognitive Science

### Previous Positions

- 2016-17 *Researcher*, Slovak Academy of Sciences (SAS), Bratislava, Slovakia, Institute of Philosophy
- 2015-17 *Researcher*, University of Konstanz, Germany, Department of Philosophy
- 2015 *Lecturer*, Philosophy, University of Münster, Germany (declined)
- 2011-16 *Researcher*, Lund University, Department of Philosophy and Cognitive Science
- 2008-10 *PostDoc*, Lund University, Department of Philosophy and Cognitive Science
- 2008 *Project Assistant*, E-Learning: Elementary Symbolic Logic (with Albert Neven), Department of Philosophy, University of Bochum, Germany
- 2004-06 *Project Assistant*, E-Learning: Elementary Symbolic Logic; Introduction to Epistemology (with Ulrich Gähde), Hamburg University, Germany

### Fellowships

- 2017 *Short-term lecturer*, Department of Psychology, Diego Portales University, Santiago, Chile (October-November).
- 2017 *Visiting Professor*, Institute of Logic and Cognition, Sun Yat-sen University (SYSU), Guangzhou, P.R. China (Feb-May)
- 2011 *Erik Allard Fellowship*, Helsinki Collegium for Advanced Studies (Jan-Jun)

### Publications

<https://lu.academia.edu/FrankZenker> reports some 100 document views per month, consistently ranking in the top 2-5%. Number of co-authors: 17

### Authored Books

1. Zenker, F. (2009). *Ceteris Paribus in Conservative Belief Revision. On the Role of Minimal Change in Rational Theory Development* (Ph.D. Thesis, University of Hamburg). Berlin: Peter Lang (ISBN 978-3-631-57283-2).
2. Zenker, F. (2002). *The Etymological Argument: Fallacy or Sound Move?* (MA Thesis, University of Amsterdam). Munich: GRIN Publishing GmbH (ISBN 978-3-638-14401-8).

### Edited Books

1. Kaipainen, M., Hautamäki, A., Gärdenfors, P., and Zenker, F. (eds.) (2018). *Title pending* (Working Title: *Conceptual Spaces at Work*; Synthese Library, Vol. pending). Dordrecht: Springer (*in print*, ISBN pending).
2. Zenker, F., and Gärdenfors, P. (eds.) (2015). *Applications of Conceptual Spaces: The Case for Geometric Knowledge Representation* (Synthese Library, Vol. 359). Dordrecht: Springer (ISBN 978-3-319-15020-8).
3. Zenker, F. (ed.) (2013). *Bayesian Argumentation: The Practical Side of Probability* (Synthese Library, Vol. 362). Dordrecht: Springer (ISBN 978-94-007-5356-3).

### Edited Proceedings

1. Zenker, F. (ed.) (2012). *Argumentation: Cognition and Community* (Proceedings of the 9<sup>th</sup> International Conference of the Ontario Society for the Study of Argumentation, May 2011). University of Windsor, ON, Canada (ISBN 978-0-920233-66-5). <http://scholar.uwindsor.ca/ossaarchive/>.

**Edited Journal Issues**

1. Zenker, F. (2018). Reasoning, Argumentation, and Critical Thinking Instruction. Special Issue of *Topoi*, 37 (1) (ISSN 0167-7411).
2. Zenker, F., and Proietti, C. (2014). Social Dynamics and Collective Rationality. Special Issue of *Synthese*, 191 (ISSN 0039-7857).
3. Andreas, H., and Zenker, F. (2014). Perspectives on Structuralism. Special Issue of *Erkenntnis*, 79 (8) (ISSN 0156-0106).
4. Zenker, F. (2011). Selected Papers: 13<sup>th</sup> Biannual Argumentation Conference, March 2010, Wake Forest University, N.C., USA. *Cogency* 3(1) (ISSN 0718-8285).

**Journal Articles** (\* indicates peer review)

1. Witte, E.H., and Zenker, F. (2018). Data replication matters, replicated hypothesis-corroboration counts. (Commentary on “Making Replication Mainstream” by Rolf A. Zwaan, Alexander Etz, Richard E. Lucas, and M. Brent Donnellan). *Behavioral and Brain Sciences*, 41, e120 (ISSN: 0140-525X).\*
2. Krefeld-Schwalb, A; Witte, E.H., and Zenker, F. (2018). Hypothesis-testing demands trustworthy data—a simulation approach to statistical inference advocating the research program strategy. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 9, 460 (ISSN 1664-1078).\*
3. Zenker, F. (2018). Reasoning, argumentation, and critical thinking instruction (Editor’s introduction). *Topoi*, 37(1), 91–92 (ISSN 0167-7411).
4. Zenker, F.; Dahlman, C.; Bååth, R., and Sarwar, F. (2018). Reasons *pro et contra* as a debiasing technique in legal contexts. *Psychological Reports*, 121(3), 511–526 (ISSN 0033-2941).\*
5. Yu, S., and Zenker, F. (2017). Peirce knew why abduction isn’t IBE—A scheme and critical questions for abductive argument. *Argumentation* (ISSN 0920-427X, *online first*).\*
6. Witte, E.H., and Zenker, F. (2017b). From discovery to justification. Outline of an ideal research program in empirical psychology. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 8, 1847 (ISSN 1664-1078).\*
7. Xiong, M., and Zenker, F. (2017). Legal facts in argumentation-based litigation games. *Argumentation* (ISSN 0920-427X, *online first*).\*
8. Zenker, F. (2017). Logic, Reasoning, Argumentation: Insights from the Wild. *Logic and Logical Philosophy* (ISBN 1425-3305, *online first*).\*
9. Masterton, G., Zenker, F., and Gärdenfors, P. (2017). Using conceptual spaces to exhibit continuity through scientific theory change. *European Journal for Philosophy of Science*, 7(1), 127–150 (ISSN 1879-4912).\*
10. Witte, E.H., and Zenker, F. (2017a). Extending a multilab preregistered replication of the ego-depletion effect to a research program. *Basic and Applied Social Psychology*, 39(1), 74–80 (ISSN 0197-3533).\*
11. Zenker, F., and Gärdenfors, P. (2016). Continuity of theory structure: a conceptual spaces approach. *International Studies in the Philosophy of Science*, 30(4), 343–360 (ISSN 0269-8595).\*
12. Godden, D., and Zenker, F. (2016). A probabilistic analysis of argument cogency. *Synthese* (ISSN 0039-7857, *online first*, doi: 10.1007/s11229-016-1299-2).\*
13. Dahlman, C., Zenker, F., and Sarvar, F. (2016). Miss rate neglect in legal evidence. *Law, Probability & Risk*, 15(4), 239–250 (ISSN 1470-8396).\*

14. Witte, E.H., and Zenker, F. (2016b). Beyond schools—reply to Marsman, Ly & Wagenmakers. *Basic and Applied Social Psychology*, 38(6), 313–317 (ISSN 0197-3533).\*
15. Witte, E.H., and Zenker, F. (2016a). Reconstructing recent work on macro-social stress as a research program. *Basic and Applied Social Psychology*, 38(6), 301–307 (ISSN 0197-3533).\*
16. Jacot, J., Genot, E., and Zenker, F. (2016). From reasonable preferences, via argumentation, to logic. *Journal of Applied Logic*, 18, 105–128 (ISSN 1570-8683).\*
17. Zenker, F. (2016). Having knowledge from multiple testimonies: Reply to Aviezer Tucker’s “The generation of knowledge from multiple testimonies.” *Social Epistemology Review and Reply Collective*, 5 (1), 52–55 (open access, <http://wp.me/p1Bfg0-2zD>).
18. Smid, G., and Zenker, F. (2015). Three logicians walk into a bar. *The Reasoner*, 9(3), 21-22 (ISSN 1757-0522).\*
19. Godden, D., and Zenker, F. (2015). Denying antecedents and affirming consequents: The state of the art. *Informal Logic*, 35 (1), 88–134 (ISSN 0824-2577).\*
20. Petersen, G., and Zenker, F. (2014). From Euler to Navier-Stokes: A spatial analysis of conceptual changes in 19th-century fluid dynamics. *International Studies in the Philosophy of Science*, 28(3), 235–253 (ISSN 0269-8595).\*
21. Zenker, F., and Proietti, C. (2014). Social dynamics and collective rationality (Editors’ introduction). *Synthese*, 191, 2353–2358 (ISSN 0039-7857).
22. Andreas H., and Zenker, F. (2014). Basic concepts of structuralism. *Erkenntnis*, 79(8), 1367–1372 (ISSN 0165-0106).
23. Zenker, F., and Gärdenfors, P. (2014). Modeling diachronic changes in structuralism and in conceptual spaces. *Erkenntnis*, 79(8), 1547–1561 (ISSN 0165-0106).\*
24. Zenker, F. (2014). *Pro-et-contra* Argumentation—Gründe, Werte, Kompromisse (Reasons, Values, Compromises). *Wissenswert*, 03/2013, 20–29. <http://www.uni-hamburg.de/fachbereiche-einrichtungen/fb16/wissenswert.html> \*
25. Zenker, F. (2013). What do normative approaches to argumentation stand to gain from rhetorical insights? *Philosophy & Rhetoric*, 46(4), 415–436 (ISSN 0031-8213).\*
26. Gärdenfors, P. and Zenker, F. (2013). Theory change as dimensional change: conceptual spaces applied to the dynamics of empirical theories. *Synthese* 190(6), 1039–1058 (ISSN 0039-7857).\*
27. Zenker, F. (2011b). Editor’s introduction. *Cogency* 3(1), 7–12 (ISSN 0718-8285).
28. Zenker, F. (2011a). Experts and Bias: When is the interest-based objection to an authority argument sound? *Argumentation*, 25, 355–370 (ISSN 0920-427X).\*
29. Zenker, F. (2010). Analyzing social policy argumentation: a case study of the 2007 majority opinion of the German National Ethics Council regarding an amendment of the Stem Cell Law. *Informal Logic*, 30, 62–91 (ISSN 0824-2577).\*
30. Zenker, F. (2006b). Lakatos’ challenge? Auxiliary hypotheses and non-monotonous inference. *Journal for General Philosophy of Science*, 37, 405–415 (ISSN 0925-4560).\*
31. Zenker, F. (2006a). Monotonicity and reasoning with exceptions. *Argumentation*, 20, 227–236 (ISSN 0920-427X).\*

**Book Chapters** (\* indicates peer review)

1. Hahn, U., Bluhm, R., and Zenker, F., (2017). Causal Argument. In: Waldmann, M. (ed.). *Oxford Handbook of Causal Reasoning* (chapter 25). Oxford, UK: Oxford University Press (DOI: 10.1093/oxfordhb/9780199399550.013.26; ISBN: 9780199399550).\*
2. Zenker, F., and Dahlman, C. (2016). Debiasing and Rule of Law. In: Feteris, E., Kloosterhuis, H., Plug, J., and Smith, C. (eds.). *Legal Argumentation and the Rule of Law* (pp. 217–229). The Hague: Eleven Int. (ISBN 978-94-6236-702-9).\*
3. Zenker, F. (2016). Similarity as distance: Three models for scientific conceptual knowledge. In: Lukowski, P., Gemel, A., and Zukowski, B. (eds.). *Cognition, Meaning and Action* (Lodz-Lund Studies in Cognitive Science, Vol. X) (pp. 63-86). Lodz: Lodz University Press (ISBN 978-83-7969-759-5).
4. Zenker, F., and Dahlman, C. (2016). Reliable Debiasing Techniques in Legal Contexts? Weak Signals from a darker Corner of the Social Science Universe. In: Paglieri, F., Bonelli, L., & Felletti, S. (Eds.). *The psychology of argument: Cognitive approaches to argumentation and persuasion* (pp. 173-196). London: College Publications (ISBN 978-1-84890-195-7).\*
5. Zenker, F., and Gärdenfors, P. (2015). Communication, Rationality, and Conceptual Changes in Scientific Theories. In: Zenker, F. & Gärdenfors, P. (eds.). *Applications of Conceptual Spaces: The Case for Geometric Knowledge Representation* (pp. 259–277). Dordrecht: Springer (978-3-319-15020-8).\*
6. Gärdenfors, P., and Zenker, F. (2015). Conceptual spaces at work (Editors' introduction). In: Zenker, F., and Gärdenfors, P. (eds.). *Applications of Conceptual Spaces: The Case for Geometric Knowledge Representation* (pp. 3–13). Dordrecht: Springer (ISBN 978-3-319-15020-8).\*
7. Zenker, F. (2014). From Features via Frames to Spaces. Modeling Scientific Conceptual Change without Incommensurability or Apriority. In: Gamerschlag, T., D. Gerland, R. Osswald, and W. Petersen (eds.). *Concept Types and Frames. Applications in Language and Philosophy* (Studies in Linguistics and Philosophy Series, Vol. 94) (pp. 69–89). Dordrecht: Springer (ISBN 978-3-319-01540-8).\*
8. Zenker, F. (2013b). In Support of the Weak Rhetoric as Epistemic Thesis. On the Generality and Reliability of Persuasion Knowledge. In: Belle, H., van, Gillaerts, P., Gorp, B. van, Mieroop, D. van de, and Rutten, K. (eds.). *Verbal and Visual Rhetoric in a Media World* (Proceedings of Rhetoric in Society III, January 2011, Antwerp, Belgium) (pp. 61–75). Amsterdam: Leiden University Press (ISBN 978-90-8728-190-8).\*
9. Zenker, F. (2013a). Bayesian Argumentation. The Practical Side of Probability (Editor's introduction). In: Zenker, F. (ed.). *Bayesian Argumentation* (Synthese Library Vol. 362). Dordrecht: Springer, 1–11 (ISBN 978-94-007-5356-3).
10. Gärdenfors, P. & Zenker, F. (2012). Theory Change and Dimensional Change. In: Churnside, R. (ed.). *Emerging Colors in Science—Transdisciplinary Essays* (pp. 147–175). San José: University of Costa Rica Press (ISBN 978-9968-46-330-0).
11. Zenker, F., Gottschall, C., Newen, A, Riel, R. van, & Vosgerau, G. (2011c). Designing an Introductory Course in Elementary Symbolic Logic within the Blackboard e-Learning Environment. In: Blackburn, P., Dithmarsch, H. van, Manzano, M., and Soler, F. (eds.). *Tools for Teaching Logic* (Proceedings of the Third International Congress Salamanca, Spain, June 2011, TICTTL) (pp. 249–255). (Springer Lecture Notes in Artificial Intelligence, Vol. 6680). Heidelberg: Springer (ISBN 978-3-642-21349-6).\*

12. Zenker, F. (2011b). Deduction, Induction, Conduction. An Attempt at Unifying Natural Language Argument Structures. In: Blair, J.A., and Johnson, R.H. (eds.). *Conductive Argument: An Overlooked Type of Defeasible Reasoning* (pp. 74–85). London: College Publications (ISBN 978-1-84890-005-9).\*
13. Gärdenfors, P., and Zenker, F. (2011a). Using Conceptual Spaces to Model the Dynamics of Empirical Theories. In: Olsson, E.J., and Enqvist, S. (eds.). *Philosophy of Science Meets Belief Revision Theory* (Logic, Epistemology, and the Unity of Science Vol. 21) (pp. 137–153). Berlin: Springer (ISBN 978-90-481-9608-1).

### Book Reviews

1. Zenker, F. (2014). Review of Spohn, W. *The Laws of Belief* (2012). Oxford: OUP. *Philosophical Quarterly* 65(259), 310–313 (ISSN 0031-8094).
2. Zenker, F. (2012). Amos and I. Review of Kahneman, D. (2011). *Thinking fast and slow*. New York: Farrar, Strauss and Giroux. *Inquiry* 27 (2), 54–57 (ISSN 1093-1082).
3. Zenker, F. (2011). Parmenides as Secret Hero. Review of Betz, G. (2010). *Theorie dialektischer Strukturen* (Theory of Dialectical Structures). Frankfurt a. M.: Vittorio Klostermann. *Argumentation* 25(4), 513–525 (ISSN 0920-427X).
4. Zenker, F. (2010). Review of Eemeren, F.H. van, Garssen, B., and Meuffels, B. (2009). *Fallacies and Judgments of Reasonableness*. Amsterdam: Springer. *Cogency* 2 (1), 149–165 (ISSN 0718-8285).
5. Zenker, F. (2009b). Review of Eemeren, F.H. van, and Garssen, B. (eds.) (2008). *Controversy and Confrontation*. Amsterdam: John Benjamins. *Informal Logic* 29, 447–475 (ISSN 0824-2577).
6. Zenker, F. (2009a). Treating Kuhn’s Gap with Critical Contextualism. Review of William Rehg (2009). *Cogent Science in Context. The Science Wars, Argumentation Theory and Habermas*. Cambridge, MA: The MIT Press. *Cogency* 1 (1), 149–182 (ISSN 0718-8285).

### Lexicon Entries

1. Zenker, F. (2017). Falsification. In: Turner, B. (ed.). *The Wiley Encyclopedia of Social Theory* (pp. 1–3). Chichester: Wiley Blackwell (ISBN: 978-1-118-43086-6).
2. Zenker, F. (2010). Entries: AGM; Deduction; Dilemma. In: Russo, F., and Williamson, J. (eds.). *Key Terms in Logic*. London: Continuum Books (ISBN 978-18-4706-114-0). Deduction preprinted in: *The Reasoner* 4 (2010), 64–65 (ISSN 1757-0522).

### Conference Proceedings (\* indicates peer review; + indicates peer commentary)

1. Zenker, F. (2018). Can Bayesian models have “normative pull” on human reasoners? In: Oswald, S., and Maillat, D. (eds.). *Argumentation and Inference, Vol. I: Proceedings of the 2nd European Conference on Argumentation, Fribourg 2017* (pp. 496-478). London: College Publications (ISBN 978-3-84890-283-1).\*+
2. Kido, H., and Zenker, F. (2017). Argument-Based Bayesian Estimation of Attack Graphs: A Preliminary Empirical Analysis. In: B. An, A.L.C. Bazzan, J. Leite, S. Villata, and L. van der Torre (eds.). *20th International Conference on Principles and Practice of Multi-Agent Systems* (PRIMA 2017), Nice, France, 30 Oct-3 Nov, 2017 (pp. 523-532). Dordrecht: Springer.\*

3. Zenker, F. (2016b). The polysemy of ‘fallacy’—or ‘bias’, for that matter. In Bondy, P., and Benaquista, L. (eds). *Argumentation, Objectivity and Bias* (Proceedings of the 11<sup>th</sup> Conference of the Ontario Society for the Study of Argumentation, 18-21 May, 2016) (pp. 1–14). Windsor, ON: OSSA. <http://scholar.uwindsor.ca/ossaarchive/OSSA11/> +
4. Zenker, F. (2016a). John R. Welch’s “Conclusions as hedged hypotheses.” In Bondy, P., and Benaquista, L. (eds). *Argumentation, Objectivity and Bias* (Proceedings of the 11<sup>th</sup> Conference of the Ontario Society for the Study of Argumentation, 18-21 May, 2016) (pp. 1–2). Windsor, ON: OSSA. <http://scholar.uwindsor.ca/ossaarchive/OSSA11/>
5. Zenker, F., Dahlman, C., Bååth, R., and Sarvar, F. (2016). Giving Reasons *Pro et Contra* as a Debiasing Technique in Legal Decision Making. In Mohammed, D., and Lewinski, M. (eds.). *Argumentation and Reasoned Action* (Proceedings of the First European Conference on Argumentation, Lisbon, June 2015), Vol. 1 (pp. 809–823). London: College Publications (ISBN 978-1-84890-211-4). +
6. Jacot, J., Genot, E., and Zenker, F. (2015). Logical Validity, Bounded Rationality, and Pragma-Dialectics: Outline of a Game-Theoretic Naturalization of Classically-Valid Argumentation. In: Eemeren, F.H. van, Garssen, B., Godden, D, and Mitchell, G. (eds). *Proceedings of the 8<sup>th</sup> International Conference of the International Society for the Study of Argumentation (ISSA)*, July 2014 (pp. 1–12). Amsterdam: SicSat <http://rozenbergquarterly.com/issa-proceedings-2014-table-of-contents/>.
7. Zenker, F. (2015). Denying the Antecedent Probabilized: a Dialectical View. In: Eemeren, F.H. van, Garssen, B., Godden, D, and Mitchell, G. (eds). *Proceedings of the 8<sup>th</sup> International Conference of the International Society for the Study of Argumentation (ISSA)*, July 2014 (pp. 1–14). Amsterdam: Rozenberg. <http://rozenbergquarterly.com/issa-proceedings-2014-table-of-contents/>
8. Zenker, F. (2014b). Commentary on Mark Battersby and Sharon Bailin’s “Critical Thinking and Cognitive Biases.” In: Mohammed, D., and Lewiński, M. (eds.). *Virtues of Argumentation* (Proceedings of the 10th International Conference of the Ontario Society for the Study of Argumentation (OSSA), 22-26 May 2013) (pp. 1–7). Windsor, ON: OSSA (ISSN 2371-8323), <http://scholar.uwindsor.ca/ossaarchive/>
9. Zenker, F. (2014a). Know Thy Biases. In: Mohammed, D., and Lewiński, M. (eds.). *Virtues of Argumentation* (Proceedings of the 10th International Conference of the Ontario Society for the Study of Argumentation (OSSA), 22-26 May 2013) (pp. 1–11). Windsor, ON: OSSA (ISSN 2371-8323), <http://scholar.uwindsor.ca/ossaarchive/>+
10. Zenker, F. (2012c). The Explanatory Value of Cognitive Asymmetries in Policy Controversies. In: Goodwin, J., and Delaplante, R. (eds.). *Between Scientists and Citizens* (Proceedings of the First Int. Conference of the Great Plains Society for the Study of Argumentation, GPSSA, Ames, Iowa, USA, June 1-2, 2012) (pp. 441–452). Ames, IO: GPSSA (ISBN 978-1478152347).\*
11. Zenker, F. (2012b). Foundations for Nothing and Facts for Free. In: Zenker, F. (ed.). *Argumentation: Cognition and Community* (Proceedings of the 9<sup>th</sup> International Conference of the Ontario Society for the Study of Argumentation, OSSA, CD-ROM) (pp. 1–7). Windsor, ON: OSSA (ISBN 978-0-920233-66-5).
12. Zenker, F. (2012a). Commentary on F. Macagno’s ‘Implicatures and Hierarchies of Presumptions’. In: Zenker, F. (ed.). *Argumentation: Cognition and Community* (Proceedings of the 9<sup>th</sup> International Conference of the Ontario Society for the Study of Argumentation, OSSA, CD-ROM) (pp. 1–4). Windsor, ON: OSSA (ISBN 978-0-920233-66-5).

13. Zenker, F. (2011b). Why Study the Overlap Between Ought and Is Anyways? On Empirically Investigating the Conventional Validity of the Pragma-Dialectical Discussion Rules. In: Eemeren, F.H. van, Garssen, B., Godden, D., and Mitchell, G. (eds.). *Proceedings of the 7<sup>th</sup> International Conference of the International Society for the Study of Argumentation (ISSA)*, June 2010 (pp. 2083-2091). Amsterdam: SicSat (ISBN 978-90-3610-243-8).\*
14. Zenker, F. (2009c). Commentary on J. Plug's 'Telling Examples. Strategic Maneuvering in Plenary Debates in the European Parliament'. In: Ritola, J. (ed.). *Proceedings of the 8<sup>th</sup> Int. Conference of the Ontario Society for the Study of Argumentation (OSSA)*, Windsor, ON, June 2009, CD ROM, (pp. 1–4). Windsor, ON: OSSA (ISBN 978-0-920233-51-1).
15. Zenker, F. (2009b). Reconstructive Charity, Soundness and the RSA-Criteria of Good Argumentation. In: Ritola, J. (ed.). *Proceedings of the 8<sup>th</sup> Int. Conference of the Ontario Society for the Study of Argumentation (OSSA)*, Windsor, ON, June 2009, CD ROM, (pp. 1–15). Windsor, ON: OSSA (ISBN 978-0-920233-51-1).
16. Zenker, F. (2009a). Complexity without Insight. Ceteris Paribus Clauses in Conductive Argumentation. In: Jacobs, S. (ed.). *Concerning Argument (Proceedings of the 2007 NCA/AFA Conference on Argumentation, Alta, Utah)* (pp. 810–818). Washington: National Communication Association (ISBN 978-0-944811-79-5).
17. Zenker, F. (2007b). Pragma-Dialectic's Necessary Conditions for a Critical Discussion. In: Hansen, H. et al. (eds.). *Proceedings of the 7<sup>th</sup> Int. Conference of the Ontario Society for the Study of Argumentation (OSSA)*, Windsor, ON, June 2007, CD ROM (pp. 1–15). Windsor, ON: OSSA (ISBN 978-0-9683461-5-0), <http://scholar.uwindsor.ca/ossaarchive/>.
18. Zenker, F. (2007a). Changes in Conduct-Rules and Ten Commandments: Pragma-Dialectics 1984 vs. 2004. In: Eemeren, F.H. van, et al. (eds.). *Proceedings of the International Society for the Study of Argumentation (ISSA)*, Amsterdam, June 2006 (pp. 1581–1589). Amsterdam: SicSat, (ISBN 978-90-5170-916-2).

### Translations

1. Zenker, F. (2009). Translation [ENGL > GER] of: Tindale, C. (2008). *Fallacy Studies in the North-American Tradition* (unpublished manuscript).
2. Zenker, F. (2008). Translation [GER > ENG] of: Wohlrapp, H. (2008, chapter 5). *The Pro- and Contra-Discussion. A Critique of Trudy Govier's 'Conductive Argument.'* Würzburg: Königshausen und Neumann (unpublished manuscript).

### Script

1. (2008). *Argumentationstheorie*. Lecture Scripts, Ruhr University Bochum.

### Popular/Reports

1. Zenker, F. (2016). Workshop Report. Aspects of Defeasible Reasoning. *The Reasoner*, 10(7), 57-58 (ISSN 1757-0522).
2. Zenker, F. (2015b). Conference Report. Reasoning, Argumentation, and Critical Thinking Instruction. *The Reasoner*, 9(4), 31-33 (ISSN 1757-0522).
3. Zenker, F. & Andreas, H. (2013). With Holger Andreas. Workshop Report. Perspectives on Structuralism. *Journal for General Philosophy of Science*, 44(1), 227–234 (ISSN 0925-4560).



4. Zenker, F. (2012c). Money, Money, Money. Practical Advice on Writing Funding Proposals. *luPOD—Lund University Postdoc Forum* (www.lupod.se)
5. Zenker, F. & Andreas, H. (2012b). With Holger Andreas. Workshop Report. Perspectives on Structuralism. *The Reasoner* 6 (4), 62–64 (ISSN 1757-0522).
6. Zenker, F. (2012a). Workshop Report. Copenhagen Lund Workshops in Social Epistemology (December 2011). *The Reasoner* 6 (1), 10–11 (ISSN 1757-0522).
7. Zenker, F. (2011d). Workshop Report. Copenhagen Lund Workshops in Social Epistemology (February and September 2011). *The Reasoner* 5 (11), 191–192 (ISSN 1757-0522).
8. Zenker, F. (2011b). with Paula Quinon. Thank Goodness—Parking Tickets aren't Tax Deductible: Practical advice on filing for tax returns. *luPOD—Lund University Postdoc Forum* (www.lupod.se).
9. Zenker, F. (2011a). Conference Report. European Network Meeting, Lund, March 2011. *The Reasoner* 5 (4), 60 (ISSN 1757-0522).
10. Zenker, F. (2010). Workshop Report. Bayesian Argumentation. *The Reasoner* 4 (11), 166–67 (ISSN 1757-0522).
11. Zenker, F. (2009). The Importance of Being Eurotrash. Practical Advice on EU Frontier Worker Regulations and Benefits. *luPOD—Lund University Postdoc Forum* (www.lupod.se).
12. Zenker, F. (2006). Instead of a Career Story. *Amsterdam Argumentation Chronicle* 1 (2), 5.

## Teaching

### Higher education teacher training courses

1. Learning and Teaching in Higher Education, an Introduction, Centre for Educational Development, Lund University, September to December 2011 (certified).
2. Teaching and Learning through English, Centre for Educational Development, Lund University, September to December 2009 (certified).
3. Tutoring Workshop, Interdisciplinary Center for Didactics in Higher Education, Hamburg, Germany, 1997 (non-certified).

I command solid teaching experience from leading tutorials for groups of five to twenty-five students, at BA and MA/PhD level, on both academic and technical topics, over the course of a full semester (13-15 weeks). This includes a weekly two hour plenum session (that I led on some occasions, with up to 120 students), and a weekly two hour tutorial with typically fifteen students (that I always led). I acquired most of this experience as a teaching assistant and an academic tutor at Hamburg University, Germany, where I served in these roles for five years.

I have since taught at the University of Greifswald, Hamburg, and Konstanz, Germany, at the Free University of Amsterdam, The Netherlands, Lund University, Sweden, at Sun Yat-sen University, Guangzhou, and Beijing Language and Culture University (BLCU), P.R. China. I have moreover given guest lectures at Southwestern University of Finance & Economics (SWUFE), the Universities of Tongren, Chengdu, Xiamen and Huaqiao University, BLCU and Beijing Normal University, P.R. China, at Boğaziçi University, Istanbul, Turkey, at Diego Portales University, Santiago, and the University of Concepcion, Chile, at the Universities of Copenhagen, Denmark, Helsinki, Finland, Poznan,

Poland, Trnava, Slovakia, Zagreb, Croatia, Budapest, Hungary, at RIT-Rochester, NY, USA, and the University of Windsor, ON, Canada.

Since 2013, I have at least once per year taught a one week-long course-module in the Cognitive Science program at AMU Poznan, Poland, on philosophical aspects of studying human reasoning. I have moreover taught Business English in the framework of a self-help project for ex-drug addicts in Hamburg (2001), worked as a private English teacher (Hamburg, 2000-01), as an software instructor (Amsterdam, 2001), and for six months taught English as a second language (Calgary, 2007). I also teach academic writing, most recently in 2017 and 2018 at BLCU, Beijing, P.R. China.

I received training in didactics at Hamburg University and at Lund University, as well as in presentation skills (Hamburg, 1994, with distinction). The evaluator for my promotion to associate professor, Prof. Gerhard Schurz, notes: “Frank Zenker has high pedagogical competences and distinct didactic skills which make him a good and versatile teacher, for undergraduates as well as graduate students.”

Certificates and teaching-statement available upon request

**Courses and Tutorials held** (1 hour (h)=60 minutes)

- 2018 *Getting Published in International Peer-reviewed Journals*. South-Western University of Finance and Economics (SWUFE) , Chengdu, PRC, October 2018 (12h)
- 2018 *Natural Language Argumentation, the Fallacies, and P-Logic*. Tutorial at the 6<sup>th</sup> Universal Logic School (UNILOG 2018), Vichy, France June 2018 (3h)
- 2018 *Getting Published in English Language Academic Journals*, University of Tongren, PR China (4h).
- 2018 *Academic Writing in English*, BA/MA level, BLCU, Beijing, P.R.C., May (16h)
- 2018 *The empirical study of human reasoning*, MA level, AMU, Poznan, Poland (12h)
- 2017 *Fallacies and the Study of Human Reasoning*, MA level, AMU, Poznan, Poland (12h)
- 2017 *Argumentation Theory and the Psychology of Reasoning*, MA/PhD level, Diego Portales University, Santiago, Chile, October/November 2018 (22h)
- 2017 *Academic Writing in English*, BA/MA level, BLCU, Beijing, P.R.C., September 2017 (16h)
- 2017 *Current Issues in Argumentation Theory and the Psychology of Reasoning*, MA/PhD level, Sun Yat-sen University, P.R. China (20h)
- 2016 *Argumentation Theory*, MA/PhD level, Budapest, Hungary (12h)
- 2016 *Reasoning*, MA level, AMU Poznan, Poland (12h), December
- 2016 *Reasoning*, MA level, AMU Poznan, Poland (12h), April
- 2015 *Fallacies*, Konstanz University, Germany (21h)
- 2015 *Logic for Linguists*, University of Hamburg, Germany (21h)
- 2015 *Reasoning*, MA level, AMU Poznan, Poland (12h)
- 2014 *Reasoning*, MA level, AMU Poznan, Poland (12h)
- 2013 *Reasoning*, MA level, AMU, Poznan, Poland (12h)
- 2012 *Reasoning*, MA/PhD level, Lund University, Sweden (20h)
- 2011 *Bayesian Argumentation*, MA/PhD level, Lund (20h)
- 2010 *Advanced Argumentation*, MA/PhD level, Lund (20h)

- 2007 *English as a second language*, FOCUS language school, Calgary, AB, Canada (six months at 12-15 hours/week)
- 2006 Tutorials *Epistemology*, BA/MA level, Hamburg University, Germany (21h)
- 2005 Tutorials *Logic and Argumentation*, BA/MA level, Hamburg University (21h)
- 2005 *Philosophy of Science*, MA level, Greifswald University, Germany (20h)
- 2004 Tutorial, *Philosophy of Science*, BA/MA level, Hamburg University (2x20h)
- 2003 *Policy Proposal Writing*, MA level, Free U. Amsterdam, The Netherlands (20h)
- 2002 Tutorials *Epistemology*, BA/MA level, Hamburg University (2x20h)
- 2002 Lecturer *Policy Proposal Writing*, MA level, Free U. of Amsterdam (20h)
- 2002 Tutorials *Logic and Argumentation*, BA/MA level, Hamburg University (20h)
- 1998 Tutorials *Political Theory*, BA/MA level Hamburg University (20h)
- 1998 Tutorials *Introduction to Linguistics*, BA/MA, Hamburg University (20h).
- 1997 Tutorials *Logic and Argumentation*, BA/MA level, Hamburg University (20h).
- 1997 Tutorials *Introduction to Linguistics*, BA/MA level Hamburg University (20h).
- 1997 Tutorials *Introduction to Linguistics*, BA/MA level Hamburg University (20h).

#### Guest lectures

- 2018 *Hypothesis-testing demands trustworthy data*. Summer Colloquium, Technical University Berlin, Germany, August 2018.
- 2018 *'If, then'-inferences in p-logic*. Beijing Normal University, Dpt. of Philosophy, P.R.C., May 2018.
- 2018 *Bayesian confirmation theory*, AMU Poznan, Department of Psychology, Poland, March 2018.
- 2018 *From discovery to justification: outline of an ideal research program in empirical psychology*. University of Waterloo, Ontario, Canada, January 2018.
- 2018 *Bayesian argumentation: the state of the art*. CRARR, University of Windsor, Ontario, Canada, January 2018.
- 2018 *Probabilities in natural language argumentation*. PhD program in argumentation, University of Windsor, Ontario, Canada, January 2018.
- 2017 *Legal argumentation*, University of Concepción, Chile, November 2017.
- 2017 *Getting published in English language academic journals*. Beijing Normal University, Beijing, P.R. China, September 2017.
- 2017 *The polysemy of 'fallacy'—or 'bias' for that matter*. Boğaziçi University, Istanbul, Turkey, May 2017.
- 2017 *What do normative approaches to argumentation stand to gain from rhetorical insights*. Huaqiao University, P.R. China, May 2017.
- 2017 *A probabilistic model of argument cogency*. Xiamen University (XMU), P.R. China, May 2017.
- 2017 *From discovery to justification: outline of an ideal research program in social psychology*. Xiamen University (XMU), P.R. China, May 2017.
- 2017 *Deduction, induction, conduction*. Beijing Language and Culture University (BCLU), Beijing, P.R. China, April 2017.
- 2017 *A probabilistic model of argument cogency*. Southwestern University of Finance & Economics (SWUFE), Chengdu, P.R. China, March 2017.

- 2017 *Using conceptual spaces to exhibit conceptual continuity through scientific theory change.* Boğaziçi University, Istanbul, Turkey, February 2017.
- 2016 *Getting published.* Budapest University of Technology and Economics, Hungary, Oct 2016.
- 2016 *Do Bayesian models have normative pull on human reasoners?* Boğaziçi University, Istanbul, Turkey, Oct 2016.
- 2016 *Bayesian argumentation,* Workshop at OSSA 11, Windsor, Ontario, Canada, 18 May 2016.
- 2016 *Bayesian argumentation,* SAS Bratislava, Slovak Republic. MA, PhD, Postdoc level.
- 2015 *Bias, debias, rebias—or: how to tame critters of the mind.* MA and PhD level, AMA University Poznan, Poland.
- 2015 *How the folk-epistemological basis of everyday argument constrains public debate, why we should worry, and what to do about it.* BA to PhD level, University of Copenhagen, Denmark.
- 2014 *What do normative approaches to argumentation stand to gain from rhetorical insights: Background,* MA level, University of Zagreb, Croatia.
- 2014 *What do normative approaches to argumentation stand to gain from rhetorical insights: Application,* MA level, University of Zagreb, Croatia.
- 2011 *Conceptual Spaces and Induction,* Summer School on Computational Approaches to Argumentation, University of Windsor ON, Canada.
- 2011 *AGM Belief Revision Theory applied to the Philosophy of Science.* Study Group on Non-Monotonic Reasoning, Cognitive Science, University of Helsinki, Finland.
- 2007 *Ceteris Paribus Everywhere,* University of Turku, Finland.

### **E-Learning**

- 2008 Project Assistant to Prof. Albert Newen, *E-Learning project in Elementary Symbolic Logic,* University of Bochum, Germany.
- 2003-05 Project Assistant to Prof. Ulrich Gähde, *Visualization of Theory-Structures,* University of Hamburg.

### **Tutor/Teaching Assistant**

- 2002-06 Academic Tutor, Introductions to *Logic and Argumentation, Epistemology, and Philosophy of Science,* University of Hamburg.
- 1997-98 Teaching Assistant, *Logic and Argumentation, Introduction to Linguistics, Political Theory,* University of Hamburg.

### **Instructor**

- 2007 English as a Second Language, Focus Int. Language Training, Calgary, AB, Canada.
- 2002-04 Private-Teacher. Business English/Office-Software, Hamburg.
- 2002 Software Instructor. Computer Academy, Amsterdam, Netherlands, Office Suite.

## **Grants received**

### **Project-Grants, Fellowships, Awards**

Horizon 2020 COST-Action (secondary proposer): European network for argumentation and public policy analysis (APPLY), 2018-2022, No. OC-2017-1-22387 (500.000 EUR)

German Academic Exchange Office (Deutscher Akademischer Austauschdienst), Short Term Readership (*Kurzzeitdozentur*), Dpt. of Psychology, Diego Portales University, Chile, 15 Oct-15 Nov 2017; Nr. 91647734 (5.000 EUR).

Confucius Institute (HANBAN) “Understanding China”-Fellowship, Institute of Logic and Cognition, Sun Yat-sen University, Guangzhou, P.R. China, Feb-May 2017 (3.400 EUR)

Project Grant (sole applicant): *Conceptual Spaces, Reasoning, and Argumentation*, Volkswagen Foundation, 2015-17, Az.: 90 531 (80.000 EUR)

Marie Skłodowska-Curie COFUND fellow (sole applicant): *What ‘fallacy’ means to other-disciplined peers*, Slovak Academy of Sciences, Bratislava, 2016-17, no 1225/02/03 (86.000 EUR)

Stipend to attend the 2014 Nobel Prize Dialogue, Stockholm, Sweden, funded by the Swedish Institute (200 EUR)

Project Grant (co-applicant): *Judges without Biases: A legal research project on de-biasing in the evaluation of evidence*, with C. Dahlman (PI) and F. Sarwar, funded by the Ragnar Söderberg Foundation, 2014-17 (450.000 EUR)

Project Grant (sole applicant): *Reconstruction and Evaluation of Pro/Con Arguments*, Swedish Research Council, 2011-14, DN.: 421-2010-1536 (290.000 EUR)

*Erik Allard Fellowship*, Helsinki Collegium for Advanced Studies (HCAS), January-June 2011, Kone Foundation (18.000 EUR)

Project Grant (sole-applicant): *Continuity in Scientific Revolutions*, Swedish Research Council, 2008-10 (170.000 EUR)

Project Grant (sub-applicant): *Reconstruction and Evaluation of Social Policy Argumentation* (with C. Tindale, Windsor, Canada, and H. Wohlrapp, Hamburg, Germany), Alexander von Humboldt Foundation, Germany, 2008-12 (45.000 EUR)

Project Grant (sole applicant): *Fallacy identification in the pragma-dialectical theory vs. Goldman’s epistemological approach*, Förnanders Fond, 2011 (2.500 EUR)

Summer School in Argumentation Theory, Windsor, ON, Canada, June 2009 (fee waiver)

Printing Subsidy, Böhringer Ingelheim Foundation, Germany, 2008 (2.000 EUR)

PostDoc Fellowship (sole-applicant): Lund University, Sweden, The Swedish Institute, November 2007-March 2008 (10.000 EUR)

Visiting Fellowship: University of Calgary, Alberta, Canada, June 2006-July 2007 (non-salaried)

Research Fellowship: Dpt. of Philosophy & Cognitive Science, Lund University, Sweden, The Swedish Institute, October 2005-May 2006 (10.000 EUR)

Research Grant: University of Hamburg, Visit to the LSE Lakatos Archives, London, UK, May 2006 (2.000 EUR)

Summer School in Formal Methods, Lund University, Sweden, 2005 (fee waiver).

Exchange-Fellowship: Tulane University, New Orleans, LA, USA, 1998-99 (15.000 EUR)

### **Conference and Workshop Grants**

Conference Grant (co-applicant), Annual meeting of the *Nordic Network for Philosophy of Science* (NNPS), April 2017, Copenhagen, Denmark, with Henrik Thoren, Hultengrens Fond (4.900 EUR).

Workshop Grant (sole applicant): Int. workshop on fallacies in reasoning and action, 2017, Hultengrens Fund (4.800 EUR).

- Conference Grant (co-applicant): *Conceptual Spaces@Work*, August 2016, Södertörn University, Sweden (with Mauri Kaipainen, Antti Hautamäki, and Peter Gärdenfors), Swedish Research Council (8.500 EUR).
- Workshop Grant (sole applicant): *Conceptual Spaces 360 planning workshop*, Berlin, October 2015, Hultengrens and Elisabeth Rausings Minnesfond Fund (4.800 EUR)
- Workshop Grant (co-applicant): *International workshop series "The Trinity of Policy-Making: Evidence, Causation and Argumentation,"* Finnish Cultural Foundation, 2015-2018, with Carlo Martini (Helsinki, Finland) and Rani Lill Anjum (Ås, Norway) (100.000 EUR).
- Conference Grant (sole applicant): *Int. Conference on the State of the Art in Research on Reasoning, Argumentation, and Critical Thinking Instruction (RACT 2015)*, February 2015, funded by the Swedish Research Council (13.500 EUR), Sandblom Fund (6.500 EUR), Rausings Fund (7.000 EUR), AILACT (400 EUR)
- Conference Grant (sole applicant): *Int. Meeting of the Nordic Network for Philosophy of Science*, Lund, March 2014 (NNPS 2014), Hultengrens Fund (6.000 EUR)
- Workshop Grant (sub-applicant): *Int. Workshop on the Philosophy of Information and Information Quality*, May 2013 (with E.J. Olsson & V.F. Hendricks), Einar Hanson Research Fund (6.000 EUR)
- Workshop Grant (sub-applicant): *Int. Workshop on Social Epistemology*, Lund, December 2012 (with V.F. Hendricks and E.J. Olsson), Föränders Fund (5.000 EUR)
- Workshop Grant (sub-applicant): *Int. Workshop on Interdisciplinary Project Success*, Lund, October 2012 (with J. Persson), Hultengrens Fund (10.000 EUR)
- Conference Grant (co-applicant): *Int. Conference Conceptual Spaces at Work*, Lund, May 2012, Swedish Royal Society of Letters; Swedish Research Council; Science Society Lund (22.000 EUR)
- Workshop Grant (sole applicant): *Int. Workshop on Formal Methods in Reconstructing Natural Language Argumentation*, Konstanz, Germany, Sept 2012 (arranged in cooperation with Georg Brun and Gregor Betz) (4.000 EUR)
- Workshop Grant (sub-applicant): *Int. Copenhagen-Lund Workshop Series on Social Epistemology* (with V.F. Hendricks & E.J. Olsson), Einar Hanson Research Fund, 2011-12 (8.000 EUR)
- Workshop Grant (sole applicant): *Int. Workshop on Bayesian Argumentation*, Lund, October 2010, Wenner-Gren Foundations; Swedish Research Council (12.000 EUR)

### **Travel Grants**

Universities of Bremen, Copenhagen, Düsseldorf, Galati, Hamburg, Helsinki, Karlsruhe, Lund, Rochester Institute of Technology, Turku, Windsor, ZIF Bielefeld; BCLU-Beijing, SWUFE-Chengdu, HQU-Huaqiao, XMU-Xiamen, Alexander von Humboldt Foundation, Finnish Cultural Foundation; Föränders Fond, German Research Council (DFG), Rausings Fund, Hultengrens Fund, Vetenskaps societeten i Lund; Wallenberg Foundation; European Union Erasmus and Erasmus+ Teaching Exchange and Staff Exchange Programs, The Swedish Institute, Slovak Academy of Sciences, EU Cost action (total: ca. 68.500 EUR)

### **Academic Service**

#### **Reviewer**

**Journals:** *Synthese* (21); *Cogency* (6); *Cognition* (1); *Informal Logic* (11); *Argumentation* (10); *Review of Symbolic Logic* (1); *Topoi* (5); *Erkenntnis* (2); *Studies in History and Philosophy of Science* (2); *Studies in Logic, Grammar and Rhetoric* (1); *Journal of Applied Non-Classical Logics* (1); *Argumentation & Computation* (1); *Mind & Society* (1); *Open Environmental Sciences* (1); *Social Epistemology* (1); *Philosophia* (Israel) (1); *Perspectives on Science: Historical, Philosophical, Social* (1); *Journal of the Philosophy of History* (1); *Rubriq Peer Review Service* (2); *Philosophy & Rhetoric* (7); *The British Journal for Philosophy of Science* (1); *Peerage of Science* (1); *Logic and Logical Philosophy* (1); *Frontiers in Psychology* (3); *Technologies* (1); *Palgrave Communication* (1); *New Ideas in Psychology* (1); *Cognitive Science* (1).

**Publishing houses:** Palgrave Macmillan (1 proposal); *Synthese Library* (2 volumes); Peter Lang (1 article); College Publications (2 articles); Oxford University Press (1 article); Springer (2 articles); Bloomsbury (1 article).

**Conferences:** CTF 2009 Proceedings (1); ISSA 2010 Proceedings (2); DIS 2012 (12); L&C 2012 (1); IPS 2012 (25); OSSA 2013 (150); DIS 2013 (8); DIS 2016 (3); DIS 2018 (3); Proceedings of the 2012 Venice Conference on Argumentation, 2013 (1); ECA 2015 (7); ECA 2016 (2); NNPS 2015 (5); NNPS 2016 (3); CS@Work 2016 (5); SLPCS 2016 (1); CoSt16 (2); NNPS 2017 (11); PRW2017 (12); AIC 2018 (1); ArgDiaP 2018 (1); COMMA 2018 (2); CPSN 2018 (1).

**Institutions:** European Union, Horizon 2020, MSC individual fellowships 2016 (14), 2017 (11); National Science Center, NCN, Poland, 2016 (1), 2017 (1); MIUR—Italian Ministry for Education, University and Research 2017 (2).

#### **Editorial Board Member**

*Frontiers in Psychology—Theoretical and Philosophical Psychology*, Review Editor (2017 until present)

*Topoi: An International Review of Philosophy* (2011 until present)

*Inquiry: Critical Thinking Across the Disciplines* (2016 until present)

*Palgrave Communications* (2015 until present)

*Windsor Studies in Argumentation*, electronic book series (2012 until present)

*Cogency: Journal for Reasoning and Argumentation*, Book Review Editor (2009-2013)

#### **Program and Scientific Committees**

Program Committee, *7th International Conference on Computational Models of Argument* (COMMA), 12-14 Sept. 2018, Warsaw, Poland.

Program Committee Member, 3<sup>rd</sup> *European Conference on Argumentation* (ECA 2018), Groningen, The Netherlands.

Program Committee Member, *Compositional Approaches in Physics, NLP, and the Social Sciences* (2018), University of Nice Sophia Antipolis, France.

Program Committee Member, 6<sup>th</sup> Int. Workshop on *Artificial Intelligence and Cognition* (AIC 2018), Naples, Italy.

Scientific Committee Member, *Nordic Network for Philosophy of Science* (NNPS 2017), Aarhus, Denmark.

Program Committee Member, Int. workshop on *Semantic Spaces at the Intersection of NLP, Physics, and Cognitive Science*, Glasgow, Scotland, June 2016.

Program Committee Member, *Nordic Network for Philosophy of Science* (NNPS 2016), April 2016, Tartu, Estland.

Program Committee Member, *Conceptual Spaces at Work (CS@WORK2016)*, August 2016, Södertörn University, Sweden.

Program Committee Member, 3<sup>rd</sup> Meeting of the Nordic Network for Philosophy of Science (NNPS 2015), April 2015, Helsinki, Finland.

Program Committee Member, 10<sup>th</sup> Int. Conference of the Ontario Society for the Study of Argumentation (OSSA) 2013, Windsor, Ontario, Canada.

Program Committee Member, *Logic and Cognition (L&C 2012)*, Adam Mickiewicz University, Poznan, Poland, May 2012.

Program Committee, *Dani Ivo Skaric (DIS)*, International Conference on Rhetoric, Postira, Croatia, April 2012, 2014, 2016, 2018.

### **Service to Professional Organizations**

Steering Committee Member, *European Conference on Argumentation (ECA)*, 2013-present.

Director-at-Large and webmaster, *Association for Informal Logic and Critical Thinking (AILACT)*, 2011-13, 2013-15, 2015-2017.

Chair, *eColloq on Argumentation*, Online speaker series on Argumentation, 2011-2014.

Founding and Steering Committee Member, *Lund PostDoc Society*, 2010-2015.

Treasurer, *Philosophical Society*, Lund, Sweden, 2009-2012.

Student Representative & Organizer of Orientation Programs, University of Hamburg, Germany, 1995-98.

### **Meetings Arranged**

1. Main Organizer: *Fallacies: Strategy, Error, Shortcut* (with Pawel Lupowski). Int. Workshop at Poznan Reasoning Week 2017 (PRW2017), Poznan, Poland, 6-7 July 2017.
2. Organizer: *Reasoning in Conceptual Spaces*. Workgroup meeting, Södertörn, Sweden, 23-24 August 2016.
3. Organizer: *Reasoning in Conceptual Spaces*. Workgroup meeting, Amsterdam, Netherlands, 28-29 June 2016.
4. Organizer: *Reasoning in Conceptual Spaces*. Workgroup meeting, Windsor, Canada, 16-17 May, 2016.
5. Organizer: *Int. Workshop on aspects of defeasible reasoning*, University of Konstanz, Germany, 4 May, 2016.
6. Organizer: *Workshop on Bayesian Argumentation*, Slovak Academy of Sciences, Bratislava, Slovakia, 28 April 2016.
7. Organizer: *Int. Workshop on argumentation in evidence based policy-making* (with Fabrizio Macagno), ArgLab, New University of Lisbon, 3-4 March 2016.
8. Organizer: *CS360 Research Group Meeting*, 16-17 October 2015, Berlin, Germany.
9. Main Organizer: *'Publish or perish was yesterday'; today we 'innovate or fade'* (with Maryam Olsson), Lund PostDoc Society Skills Seminar, May 2015.
10. Main Organizer: *International Conference on the State of the Art in Research on Reasoning, Argumentation, and Critical Thinking Instruction (RACT2015)*, 25-27 February 2015, Lund University.



11. Main Organizer: *Where'd my day go? Productivity, enabling (social) technologies, on-the-job training* (with Paula Quinon). Lund PostDoc Society Skills Seminar, February 2015.
12. Main Organizer: *Meet the reviewers* (with Paula Quinon), Lund PostDoc Society Skills Seminar, December 2014.
13. Main Organizer: *What you had always wanted to know about your career at Lund University, but were afraid to ask* (with Paula Quinon), Lund PostDoc Society Skills Seminar, October 2014.
14. Main Organizer: *The nitty-gritty of the publication process: writing, editing, reviewing*. Lund PostDoc Society Skills Seminar (with Paula Quinon), Lund, May 2014
15. Main Organizer: *The nitty-gritty of the publication process: writing, editing, reviewing*. Lund PostDoc Society Skills Seminar (with Paula Quinon), Lund, May 2014.
16. Organizer and Chair: *Nordic Network for Philosophy of Science (NNPS) Meeting*, Lund, March 2014.
17. Main Organizer: *Obtaining and Managing Large Scale Grants*. Lund PostDoc Society Skills Seminar (with Paula Quinon), Lund, November 2013.
18. Main Organizer: *Int. Workshop on the Philosophy of Information and Information Quality* (with Vincent F. Hendricks and Erik J. Olsson), Lund, May 2013.
19. Main Organizer: *Five International Copenhagen-Lund Workshops in Social Epistemology* (with Carlo Proietti), Copenhagen and Lund, 2010-12.
20. Chair: *eColloq on Argumentation*, Int. Online Colloquium, 2011 (3); 2012 (3); 2013 (3), 2014 (2).
21. Main Organizer: *International Workshop: What is Interdisciplinary Success?* (with Johannes Persson and Henrik Thoren), Lund, October 2012.
22. Main Organizer: *Int. Workshop Formal Methods in Reconstructing Natural Language Arguments* (with Georg Brun and Gregor Betz), GAP.8, Konstanz, Germany, Sept 2012.
23. Organizer: *International Conference Conceptual Spaces at Work* (with Peter Gärdenfors), Lund, Sweden, May 2012.
24. Co-organizer: *International Workshop: Perspectives on Structuralism* (with Holger Andreas), Center for Advanced Studies, Munich, Germany, February 2012.
25. Organizer: *International Conference, European Epistemology Network (EEN) Meeting*, Lund, 17-19 March 2011.
26. Organizer: *Int. Workshop Bayesian Argumentation*, Lund, 22-23 October 2010.
27. Co-Organizer: *Hamburg/Lund Workshop on Theory Revision* (with Ulrich Gähde), Thyssen Foundation, Hamburg, Germany, May 2005.
28. Assistant to the Organizers: *6<sup>th</sup> ISSA Conference*, Amsterdam, Netherlands, June 2002.

### Invited Talks

1. (2018b). *How not to play the scientific discovery game*. International Symposium on Reasoning, Argumentation, and Communication (ISRAC 2018), SWUFE, Chengdu, PRC, October 2018.
2. (2018a). *Critical Thinking Instruction & Base Rates*. University of Tongren, PR China, May 2018.

3. (2017f). *Policy and Management in German Higher Education*. Beijing Language and Culture University, Beijing, P.R.C, HANBAN Forum, May 2018.
4. (2017e). *From Discovery to Justification*. Department of Psychology, AMU, Poznan, Poland, December 2017.
5. (2017d). *Bayesian Argumentation: the state of the art*. University of Lodz, Poland, December 2017.
6. (2017c). *From Discovery to Justification*. University of Concepción, Chile, November 2017.
7. (2017b). *Bayesian Argumentation*. International Conference on Argumentation and the Psychology of Reasoning. Diego Portales University, Santiago, Chile, November 2017.
8. (2017a). *Getting published*. Beijing Language and Culture University (BLCU), Beijing, P.R. China, April 2017.
9. (2016f). A conceptual analysis of fallacy. Dokma Research Group, Poznan, Poland, December 2016.
10. (2016e). *Can Bayesian models have normative pull on human reasoners?* Dpt. of Philosophy, Bosphorus University, Istanbul, Turkey, October 2016.
11. (2016d). *Being (un)objectionable*. Int. workshop ‘Aspects of defeasible reasoning’. Konstanz University, Germany, May 2016.
12. (2016c). *The polysemy of fallacy*. Dpt. of Philosophy, Budapest University of Technology and Economics, Hungary, April 2016.
13. (2016b). *Denying antecedents probabilified and dialectified*. What if-research group, Konstanz University, Germany, May 2016.
14. (2016a). *Why a nuanced view on human reasoning errors remains desirable*. University of Trnava, Slovakia, March 2016.
15. (2015i). *What do we mean by ‘fallacy’?* Logic colloquium, Konstanz University, Germany, November 2015.
16. (2015h). *Conceptual Spaces and Radical Theory Change*. Instituto di Scienze e Tecnologie della Cognizione (ISTC), Rome, Italy, September 2015.
17. (2015g). with Ulrike Hahn, *Fallacies in Legal and Everyday Reasoning: A Bayesian Account of Argument Strength*. Int. workshop on models of rational proof in criminal law. Interdisciplinary Research Center (ZIF), Bielefeld, Germany, September 2015.
18. (2015f). *What ‘fallacy’ means to other-disciplined peers*. University of Groningen, The Netherlands, June 2015.
19. (2015e). *What ‘fallacy’ means to other-disciplined peers*. Birkbeck, University of London, UK, May 2015.
20. (2015d). *Motivation, cognition, technologies: Three underappreciated factors in developing our second (argumentative) nature*. Department of Media, Cognition and Communication, University of Copenhagen, Denmark, March 2015.
21. (2015c). *Developing our second (argumentative) nature*, ArgLab, New University of Lisbon, Portugal, March 2015.
22. (2015b). *Reliable debiasing techniques? Implications for critical thinking instruction*. Rochester Institute of Technology, N.Y., USA, February 2015.
23. (2015a). *The four-fold path to debiasing: Cognition, motivation, technology, and error avoidance*. Center for Research in Reasoning, Argumentation, and Rhetoric, University of Windsor, Canada, February 2015.
24. (2014b). *Logic, reasoning, and argumentation: Insights from the wild*. Croatian Phonetic Association, Zagreb, Croatia.
25. (2014a). *Reasoning errors*. Karlsruhe Institute of Technology, Karlsruhe, Germany.
26. (2013d). *Reasoning errors*. Higher Seminar, Gothenburg University, Sweden.

27. (2013c). *What fallacies are not*. International Workshop on Argumentation and Rational Decisions, ArgLab, New University of Lisbon, Portugal.
28. (2013b). *Denkfehler—Anmerkungen zur experimentellen Erforschung menschlicher Rationalität* (Reasoning Errors—Remarks on the experimental study of human rationality). Technical University Dortmund, Germany.
29. (2013a). *The Conjunction Fallacy as a Challenge for Social Epistemology: Daniel Kahneman meets Jaako Hintikka*. Epistemology workshop, University of Bochum, Germany.
30. (2012e). *Reconstructing Conceptual Change with Conceptual Spaces*. Int. Workshop on Cognitive and Computational Modeling of Conceptual Change in Learning Science and Science Education, Helsinki, Finland.
31. (2012d). *From Pro/Con to Compromise*. 14<sup>th</sup> Biennial Argumentation Conference, Casa Artom, Venice, Italy.
32. (2012c). *Theory Change as Dimensional Change*. Int. Conference Conceptual Spaces at Work, Lund University, Sweden.
33. (2012b). *Logic, Cognition, and Natural Language Argumentation*. Key Note, Int. Conference Logic & Cognition, Poznan, Poland.
34. (2012a). *From Pro/Con to Compromise*. CRRAR research seminar, University of Windsor, ON, Canada.
35. (2011c). *Evaluating Expert Argumentation*. Law Faculty, Lund University, Sweden.
36. (2011b). *Ceteris Paribus Laws as Epistemic Deficits*. Int. Workshop on Ceteris Paribus Reasoning and Laws, Lund University.
37. (2011a). *The German National Ethics Council on human Embryonic Stem Cell Research (hESCR) and Pre-implantation Genetic Diagnosis (PGD)*. Real Arguments-Conference, Hamburg, Germany.
38. (2010b). *Epistemic Norms*. Dpt. of Philosophy, University of Aarhus, Denmark, May 2010.
39. (2010a). *Analyzing Social Policy Argumentation*. Communication and Argumentation in the Public Sphere (CAPS 4). Galati, Romania (invited 3 hour workshop).
40. (2009). *Reconstruction and Evaluation of Conductive Argumentation*. Dpt. of Rhetoric, Communication & Media Studies. University of Copenhagen, Denmark.
41. (2008d). *Conductive Argumentation and Pareto Optimality*. Center for Research in Reasoning, Argumentation and Rhetoric, University of Windsor, ON, Canada.
42. (2008c). *Implicit vs. explicit Representation of ceteris paribus conditions in empirical theories*. Research Colloquium, University of Düsseldorf, Germany.
43. (2008b). *The 2007 Argumentation of the National German Ethics Council regarding an amendment of the stem cell law: A case of compromise revision*. International workshop on social policy argumentation, University of Windsor, Ontario.
44. (2008a). *Subjective Protection Rights of an Embryo in Abortion and Stem Cell Research*, Higher Seminar in Practical Philosophy, Lund University, Sweden.
45. (2007f). *Structuralism, Conceptual Spaces and Continuity across Paradigm Shifts*. Science in Flux-Workshop, Philosophy Department, Lund, Sweden.
46. (2007e). *Ceteris Paribus Everywhere*, Philosophy Dpt., University of Turku, Finland.

47. (2007d). *Fallacy Detection in Pragma Dialectics*. Society for Argumentation, Turku, Finland.
48. (2007c). *Finite Ceteris Paribus Clauses*, Philosophy Dpt., University of Windsor, ON, Canada.
49. (2007b). *The Protection Right of a Human Embryo in Abortion and Stem Cell Research*, Philosophy Department, University of Windsor, ON, Canada.
50. (2007a). *Specifying Ceteris Paribus*. A Comparison of recent formal approaches, History and Philosophy of Science Research Group, University of Calgary, AB, Canada.
51. (2006). *Popper's Deductive Predictions, Ceteris Paribus Clause and AGM Belief Revision*, HPS Research Group, University of Calgary, AB, Canada.
52. (2005). *Ceteris Paribus in Epistemic Change*. Research Seminar, University of Bremen, Germany.

## Presentations

1. (2018g). *Hypothesis-testing demands trustworthy data*. 51<sup>st</sup> Congress of the German Society for Psychology (DGPs2018), Goethe University Frankfurt, Germany, 15-20 28 September 2018.
2. (2018f). *How not to play the scientific discovery game*. Poznan Reasoning Week 2018, AMU Poznan, Poland, 11-15 September 2018.
3. (2018e). *What a t-test easily hides*. Entia & Nomina Workshop in Formal Epistemology 2018, University of Gdansk, Poland, August 2018.
4. (2018d). *A simulation approach to inferential statistics based on the research program strategy (RPS)*. 8<sup>th</sup> Congress of the European Methodology Association (EAM), Jena, Germany, 27 July 2018.
5. (2018c). *How not to aggregate reasons*. 9<sup>th</sup> ISSA Conference, Amsterdam, The Netherlands, 5 July 2018.
6. (2018b). *How not to aggregate reasons*. Argument Strength workshop, IRIT, Université Paul Sabatier, Toulouse, France, 12 April 2018.
7. (2018a). *From discovery to justification*. Higher Seminar in Theoretical Philosophy, Lund University, Sweden, 6 February 2018.
8. (2017f). *Gründe geben [Giving reasons]*. QUA-LiS NRW Workgroup on Argumentation and Scientific Literary, Essen, 29 September 2017.
9. (2017e). *Outline of an ideal research program in empirical psychology and elsewhere*. Ethics & Information Technologies research group, Hamburg, Germany, 8 September 2017.
10. (2017d). *Expert disagreement exceeding measurement uncertainty is unreasonable*. Int. Conference on Trust, Expert Opinion & Policy, Dublin, Ireland, 31 August-2 September 2017.
11. (2017c). *The strength of co-value argumentation*. Int. conference on values in argumentation, ArgLab, New University of Lisbon, Portugal, 28-29 June 2017.
12. (2017b). *Can Bayesian models have "normative pull" on human reasoners?* 2<sup>nd</sup> European Conference on Argumentation, Fribourg, Switzerland, 20-23 June 2017.
13. (2017a). *A probabilistic analysis of argument cogency*. Higher seminar in theoretical philosophy, Lund University, Sweden, January 2017.

14. (2016f). *A probabilistic analysis of argument cogency*. Argument Strength workshop, RUB, Bochum, Germany, November 2016.
15. (2016e). *Can Bayesian models have normative pull on human reasoners?* Poznan Reasoning Week, AMU, Poznan, Poland, September 2016.
16. (2016d). *Reasoning in Conceptual Spaces*. Södertörn University, Sweden, August 2016.
17. (2016c). *The polysemy of 'fallacy'—or 'bias', for that matter*. OSSA11, Windsor, ON, Canada, May 2016
18. (2016b). *What is that thing called 'fallacy'*. DIS 2016, Brac, Croatia, April 2016.
19. (2016a). *Towards a use-based account of 'fallacy'*. Bratislava, Slovakia, February 2016.
20. (2015l). *What do we mean by 'fallacy'?* Higher Seminar, Lund University, Sweden, October 2015.
21. (2015k). *From Classical Mechanics to Quantum Theory and Special Relativity Theory*. EPSA15, Düsseldorf, Germany, September 2015.
22. (2015j). *From Classical Mechanics to Quantum Theory and Special Relativity Theory*. Poster presentation, GAP.9, Osnabrück, Germany, September 2015.
23. (2015i). *The Rule of Law as a debiasing technique*. International conference on Rule of Law, Rotterdam, The Netherlands, June, 2015.
24. (2015h). *What 'fallacy' means to other-disciplined peers*. Slovakian Academy of Sciences, Bratislava, June 2015.
25. (2015g). *May the better argument win: debiasing in legal decision making contexts*. ECA2015, Lisbon, Portugal, June 2015.
26. (2015f). *Lies, dam lies and models*. Higher seminar in theoretical philosophy, Lund University, Sweden.
27. (2015e). *Why developed societies require (more) innovation*. LPS seminar co-arranged with Lund University Innovation Systems, Lund, May 2015.
28. (2015c). *Conceptual Spaces, Structural Realisms, and Continuity in Theory Change*. Annual Meeting of the Nordic Network for Philosophy of Science, Helsinki, Finland, April 2015.
29. (2015b). *Conceptual Spaces and Radical Theory Change*. 42<sup>nd</sup> Annual Philosophy of Science Conference, Dubrovnik, Croatia, April 2015.
30. (2015a). *Debiasing in Legal Contexts*. RACT 2015, Lund University, February, 2015.
31. (2014f). *Reliable Debiasing Techniques? Critical Remarks on a Darker Corner of the Social Science Universe*. Higher Seminar in Theoretical Philosophy, Lund University, December 2014.
32. (2014g). *Argumentation from pairing a language with strategic goals* (with Justine Jacot and Emmanuel Genot). 8th Conference of the International Society for the Study of Argumentation (ISSA), Amsterdam, The Netherlands, July 2014.
33. (2014f). *Denying the Antecedent Probabilized*. 8th Conference of the International Society for the Study of Argumentation (ISSA), Amsterdam, The Netherlands, July 2014.
34. (2014e). *Denying the Antecedent Probabilized*. Giornate tridentine di retorica (GTR14). Faculty of Law, University of Trento, Italy, June 2014.
35. (2014d). *Editing books and special issues—tools, trouble, fun*. luPOD Skills Seminar, Lund University, May 2014.

36. (2014c). *Evaluating probabilistic versions of denying the antecedent*. DIS 2014, Brac, Croatia, April 2014.
37. (2014c). *Of drunks and streetlamps: The rational merits of denying antecedents and affirming consequents* (with David Godden). 15<sup>th</sup> Biennial Argumentation Conference, Wake Forest University, N.C, USA, April 2014.
38. (2014b). *Heuristics, total evidence and then some: What DA and AC arguments tend to leave implicit*. Higher Seminar for Theoretical Philosophy, Lund University, March 2014.
39. (2014a). *Reasoning Errors*. Law Faculty, University of Lund, Jan, 2014.
40. (2013g). *Reasoning Errors*. Higher Seminar in Theoretical Philosophy, Lund University, Dec 2013.
41. (2013f). Commentary on Fabio Paglieri's "On the rationality of argumentative decisions," ArgLab Workshop, Lisbon, Dec 2013.
42. (2013e). Commentary on Mark Battersby and Sharon Bailin's "Critical Thinking and Cognitive Biases. 10<sup>th</sup> International Conference of the Ontario Society for the Study of Argumentation (OSSA). Windsor, ON, Canada, May 2013.
43. (2013d). *Know Thy Biases*. 10<sup>th</sup> International Conference of the Ontario Society for the Study of Argumentation (OSSA). Windsor, ON, Canada, May 2013.
44. (2013c). *Know Thy Biases*. Higher Seminar in Theoretical Philosophy, Lund, May 2013.
45. (2013b). *Reconstructing Conceptual Change Generally*. Nordic Philosophy of Science Meeting, Uppsala, April 2013.
46. (2013a). *Fighting to Combat Biased Reasoning in the Classroom*. Annual Meeting of the American Philosophical Association (APA), Central Division, New Orleans, LA, USA, February 2013. Joint session of the Association of Informal Logic and Critical Thinking and the American Association of Philosophy Teachers.
47. (2012j). *What do Normative Approaches to Argumentation Stand to Gain from Rhetorical Insights?* Communication Department Research Seminar, Lund University, Nov 2010.
48. (2012i). Commentary on Ulrike Hahn "The Bayesian Approach to Argumentation," GAP.8 workshop Formal Methods in Argument Reconstruction, Sept. 2012, Konstanz, Germany.
49. (2012h). *What do normative Approaches to Argumentation Stand to Gain from Rhetorical Insights*. Working Seminar, Lund University, September 2012.
50. (2012g). Popular Science Presentation. luPOD personal development Program, Venn, Sweden, August 2012.
51. (2012f). *Reasonable Disagreement in Pro-et-Contra Argumentation*. European Epistemology Network Meeting, Bologna, Italy, June 2012.
52. (2012e). *The Explanatory Value of Cognitive Asymmetry in Policy Controversies*. Int. Conference Between Scientists and Citizens, Ames, IO, USA, June 2012.
53. (2012d). *The Explanatory Value of Cognitive Asymmetry in Policy Controversies*. Higher Seminar in Theoretical Philosophy, Lund, May 2012.
54. (2012c). *Theory Change as Dimensional Change*. Int. Conference Conceptual Spaces at Work, Lund, Sweden, May 2012.
55. (2012b). *Theory Change as Dimensional Change*. Int. Conference Progress in Science, Tilburg, The Netherlands, April 2012.

56. (2012a). *What is Suasory Information?* Days of Ivo Skaric, First Int. Conference on Rhetoric, Postira, Croatia, April 2012.
57. (2011i). *Foundations for Nothing and Facts for Free. A Challenge for the Dialectical Egalitarian.* 3<sup>rd</sup> eColloq on Argumentation, Oct. 2011.
58. (2011h). *Experts and Bias.* Higher Seminar in Theoretical Philosophy, Lund, Oct. 2011.
59. (2011g). *Academic Etiquette.* Lund University Postdoc Seminar (luPOD), Oct 2011.
60. (2011f). *Designing a Course in Elementary Symbolic Logic within Blackboard.* Third International Congress on Tools for Teaching Logic, Salamanca, Spain, June 2011.
61. (2011e). Commentary on Fabrizio Macagno's "Implicatures and Hierarchies of Presumption." International Conference of the Ontario Society for the Study of Argumentation, Windsor, Ontario, Canada, May 2011.
62. (2011d). *Foundations for Nothing and Facts for Free.* International Conference of the Ontario Society for the Study of Argumentation, Windsor, Ontario, Canada, May 2011.
63. (2011c). *Theory Change as Dimensional Change.* Philosophy of Science Research Group, Department of Philosophy, University of Helsinki, April 2011.
64. (2011b). *Two Models for Reconstructing Theory Change.* Helsinki Collegium for Advanced Studies Brown Bag Seminar, Helsinki, Finland, March 2011.
65. (2011a). *In Support of the Rhetoric-as-Epistemic Thesis.* Rhetoric in Society III, Antwerp, Belgium, January 2011.
66. (2010m). *Modeling Pro/Con Argument in a Bayesian Framework.* Higher Seminar in Theoretical Philosophy, University of Lund, November 2010.
67. (2010l). *Beyond the Priors: Modeling Framing Effects in Pro/Con Argument.* International workshop on Bayesian Argumentation, University of Lund, October 2010.
68. (2010k). *Arguers as Strategist: Are we really integrating rhetorical insights?* Argument and Persuasion Symposium, École des hautes études en sciences sociales, Paris, Sept. 2010.
69. (2010j). *Why study the transition between is and ought anyways? On the conventional validity of the pragma-dialectical rules.* 7<sup>th</sup> Conference of the International Society for the Study of Argumentation (ISSA), Amsterdam, June 2010.
70. (2010i). *Academic Networking.* luPOD Seminar, Lund University Postdoctoral Program, Sweden, May 2010
71. (2010h). *Free Speech, Pragma-Dialectics, and Epistemic Norms of Argumentation.* Communication & Argumentation in the Public Sphere (CAPS 4). Galati, Romania, May 2010.
72. (2010g). *Deduction, Induction, Conduction.* Symposium on Conductive Arguments. University of Windsor, ON, Canada, May 2010.
73. (2010f). *The Canadian Debate on Human Embryonic Stem Cell Research.* TransCoop Workshop on Social Policy Argumentation, University of Windsor, ON, April 2010.
74. (2010e). *Reconstruction of Theory-Dynamics without Incommensurability or Apriority.* Humanities and Theology Days, University of Lund, April 2010.

75. (2010d). *Fitting Epistemic Norms into Pragma-Dialectics. Whose Problem Anyways?* Where is Your Argument-Conference, University of Manchester, UK, April 2010.
76. (2010c). *Bayesian Argumentation*. Higher Seminar in Theoretical Philosophy, University of Lund, April 2010.
77. (2010b). *Deduction, Induction, Conduction: An Attempt at Unifying Natural Language Argument Structure*. 13th SCA/AFA Biennial Wake Forest Argumentation Conference on Argumentation. Winston Salem, NC, USA, March 2010.
78. (2010a). *Modeling Scientific Change in Conceptual Spaces*. Institute Lecture, Dpt. of Philosophy & Cognitive Science, Lund, February 2010.
79. (2009h). *Modeling Pro/Contra Argumentation*. TransCoop Workshop, University of Hamburg, Germany, December 2009.
80. (2009g). *The Role of Free Speech in the Pragma-Dialectical Model of a Critical Discussion*. Epistemology Research Group, University of Copenhagen, Denmark, December 2009.
81. (2009f). *Deduction, Induction, Conduction*. Higher Seminar in Theoretical Philosophy, Lund, November 2009.
82. (2009e). *Frames, Conceptual Spaces and Large Scale Theory Change*. International Conference on Concept Types and Frames in Language and Cognition, Dusseldorf, Germany, August 2009.
83. (2009d). *A Critique of Paul Thagard's Explanatory Coherence*. Philosophy Days, University of Lund, June 2009.
84. (2009c). Commentary on J. Plug's "Telling Examples. Strategic Manoeuvring in Plenary Debates in the European Parliament," OSSA 2009, Windsor, ON, Canada.
85. (2009b). *Reconstructive Charity, Soundness and the RSA-Criteria of Good Argumentation*. OSSA, Windsor, ON, Canada, June 2009.
86. (2009a). *Thagard's Explanatory Coherence*. Higher Seminar in Theoretical Philosophy, University of Lund, March 2009.
87. (2008b). *On the Reconstruction of Revolutionary Theory Change*. International workshop, Paris, France, December 2008.
88. (2008a). *Theoretical Virtues, Objections and Some Thoughts from Theory Revision*. Research Colloquium, Dpt. of Speech Communication, Argumentation Theory and Rhetoric, University of Amsterdam, February 2008.
89. (2007b). *Ceteris Paribus Clauses in Assessing Conductive Argumentation*. 2007 NCA/AFA Summer Conference on Argumentation, Alta, Utah, USA, July 2007.
90. (2007a). *Pragma-Dialectic's Necessary Condition for a Critical Discussion*. 7<sup>th</sup> Int. Conference, Ontario Society Study of Argumentation (OSSA), University of Windsor, ON, Canada, June 2007.
91. (2006b). *Ceteris Paribus in Conservative Epistemic Change*. 6<sup>th</sup> Int. Conference of the German Society for Analytical Philosophy (GAP), Berlin, August 2006.
92. (2006a). *Changes in Conduct Rules and Ten Commandments*. 6<sup>th</sup> Conference of the International Society for the Study of Argumentation (ISSA), Amsterdam, Netherlands, July 2006.
93. (2005b). *Auxiliary Hypotheses for Explaining failed Predictions in Data from Language Acquisition* (with Tanja Kupisch). Meeting of the European Society for Philosophy & Psychology (ESPP), Lund, Sweden, June 2005.



94. (2005a). *Ceteris Paribus as Completeness Assumptions*. Lund/Hamburg Workshop on Theory Revision, Hamburg, Germany, May 2005.
95. (2004). *Consistency and Deductive Closure in Belief-Revision*. Lund/Hamburg Workshop on Theory Revision, Lund, Sweden, June 2005.

## **Related Information**

### **Community Service**

- 2014 *Founding member & chair* yoga-now.de, reg. association, Hamburg, Germany.
- 2011 *Business-English Teacher*. “Neustart”—private integration-project for ex drug-addicts, Hamburg, Germany.
- 1993-94 *Civil Service*. Paramedic, Hamburg, Germany.
- 1987-91 *Scout leader* to a group of seven adolescents (ages 10-15, co-ed), Glinde, Germany.

### **Professional Experience**

- 2004-06 *Private-Secretary* to international actor/author, Hamburg, Germany; Paris, France; Venice, Italy.
- 2000-01 *Communications Officer and Board Member*, Aggregat Multimedia GmbH, Hamburg, Germany (start-up).
- 1996-97 *Concept & Copy* (Multimedia), Pepperzack AG, Hamburg, Germany.
- 1996 *Assistant to the editors* (Television), public-channel, NDR Hamburg, Germany.
- 1996-98 *Editor* of the University Paper *Hamburger UniZeitung*.
- 1995-96 *Emergency room assistant* (night shifts), St. George Hospital, Hamburg, Germany.
- 1994 *Outpatient care giver*, Ambulate Pflege, Lokstedt, Hamburg Germany.

### **Memberships**

German Society for Analytical Philosophy (GAP); German Society for Philosophy (DGPhil); International Society for the Study of Argumentation (ISSA); Association for Informal Logic and Critical Thinking (AILACT); Centre du Recherche Théorie et Pratique du Discours, University of Galati, Romania; The Nordic Pragmatism Network; Rhetoric Society of Europe; The Nordic Network for Philosophy of Science

## **References** available from

### **Professor Peter Gärdenfors**

Lund University, Department of Cognitive Science, Box 192, 221 00 Lund, Sweden,  
peter.gardenfors@lucs.lu.se

### **Professor Christopher Tindale**

Department of Philosophy, University of Windsor, 401 Sunset Ave., Windsor, Ontario,  
Canada N9B 3P4, ctindale@uwindsor.ca

### **Professor Daniel J. O'Keefe**

Owen L. Coon Professor, Department of Communication Studies, Northwestern University,  
2240 Campus Drive, Evanston, IL 60208-2952, USA, d-okeefe@northwestern.edu

### **Professor Minghui Xiong**

Institute of Logic and Cognition, Sun Yat-sen University, 135 Xingang West Road  
Guangzhou, P.R. China 510275, hssxmh@mail.sysu.edu.cn

**Professor Ralph H. Johnson, FRSC**

Department of Philosophy, University of Windsor, Windsor, ON N9B3P4, Canada,  
johnsoa@uwindsor.ca

**Professor Erich H. Witte**

Social and Economic Psychology, University of Hamburg, Von-Melle-Park 5, 20146  
Hamburg, Germany, witte\_e\_h@uni-hamburg.de

**Professor Gerhard Schurz** (Evaluator Associate Professorship)

Heinrich-Heine-Universität, Department of Philosophy, Universitätsstraße 1, 40225  
Düsseldorf, Germany, schurz@phil.uni-duesseldorf.de

**Professor Ulrich Gähde** (Ph.D.-Thesis Advisor)

University of Hamburg, Department of Philosophy, Von-Melle-Park 5, 20146 Hamburg,  
Germany, ulrich.gaehde@uni-hamburg.de

**Professor Erik J. Olsson** (External Ph.D.-Thesis Advisor)

Lund University, Department of Philosophy, Box 192, 22100 Lund, Sweden,  
erik\_j.olsson@fil.lu.se

**Professor Frans H. van Eemeren** (M.A.-Thesis Advisor)

University of Amsterdam, Dpt. for Speech Communication, Argumentation Theory &  
Rhetoric, Spuistraat 134, 1012 VB Amsterdam, Netherlands, f.h.van.eemeren@hum.uva.nl

*Current as of October 2018*